product of the country is not separately available.

(d) and (e) The composition of the top 20 Monopoly Houses has changed in 1985 with the inclusion of M A. Chidambaram House and consequent exclusion of ITO House.

Cycle Corporation of India Limited

2224. SHRI NARAYAN KAR: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Cycle Corporation of India Limited has become sick after its nationalisation;

(b) if so, what are the causes of and the circumstances leading to such sickness; and

(c) what remedial action has been or is being contemplated to be taken to remove the sickness of the Cycle Corporation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRl M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) The Cycle Corporation of India Ltd. has continued to incur losses after nationalisation due to various reasons such as old and out-dated machinery, surplus manpower, poor liquidity position etc.

(c) Various measures such as strengthening of systems and procedures, providing plan and non-plan assistance, better collection of dues, reduction of costs etc., have been taken to improv$_e$ the performance of the company

Purchase of spray painting plant by Cycle Corporation of India

2225. SHRI NARAYAN KAR: Will the ■^Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an electrostatic spray painting plant was purchased by the Cycle Corporation of India Limited in 1984 if so, from whom it was purchased;

(b) whether the plant never achieved its rated capacity and if so. the reasons therefor, and

(c) what was the cost of the plant and how it has affected production?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) An electrostatic spray painting plant was purchased by Cycle Corporation of India Limited in 1984 from M/s. Statfield Pune at a cost of Rs. 57 lakhs. The plant is being used i$_n$ production operations, though it has not achieved its rated capacity because the current production level of the company itself is below its realisable capacity.

Turn over of Benadryl cough syrup

2226. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 245 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th November, 1987 snd state:

(a) whether it is a fact that turn-over of Benadryl Cough Syrup alone based $_0$u Diphenhydramine HC1 was more than Ru pees Six crores during 1986; and

fb) if so, on what basis this drug was excluded from price control?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. IAT-CHANDRA SINGH): (a) Sales turn-over of formulations is not monitored

(b) All such drugs including Diphenhy dramine HCI, where drugs were produced by at least 5 producers of bulk drug and 10 producers of formulations and no mo nopoly could be established were excluded by the Kelkar Committee from the list of Category-II drugs for price control.

Deletion of certain provisions under DPCO

2227. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 208 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th Nov ember, 1987 and state:

(a) what are the details regarding the provisions available under DPCO, 1979 which have been deleted from DPCO, 1987 alongwith the reasons in each case;

(b) whether such deletion has been made on the basis of any study or report; and

(c) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND' PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. JAI-CHANDRA SINGH): (a) to (c) The DPCO, 1987 is based on the measures announced by the Government in December. 1986. Details of provisions are contained in the DPCO, copies of which were placed on the table of the House.

Production of sulphamoxol etc.

2228. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 245 given in the Rajya Sabba on the 9th November, 1987 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry is monitoring the production of Sulphamoxol, Glipizide and Diphenhydramine;

(b) if so, what are the details of the manufacturing companies alongwith the production of each during the last three years, year-wise and drug-wise;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Kelkar Committee worked out the turn-over of these drugs during 1986; and

(d) if so, what was the turn-over of each drug during 1986?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINTS-TRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI R. K. IAI-CHANDRA SINGH): (a) and (b) Production of Glipizide is not monitored. The required details in respect of Sulphamoxol and Diphenhydramine, to the extent available are given in the attached statement. *(See* below).

(c) and (d) The figures of turn-over of individual drugs taken into consideration by the Kelkar Committee have not been indicated in the report submitted by the Committee.

Statement » 3-

SI. No	Name of the Bulk Drug	A/C Unu	Name of the Company	Production		
				1983-84	• 1984-85	1985-86
1.	Sulphamoxole	T	M/s German Remedies	47 60	112.35	99.45
			M/s Cipla	0.37	197	4.21
2.	Diphen-hydraminc	HC1 T	M/s Parke Davis	1.31	144	0.97
			M/s. UnichemLabs.	10.36	10.12	12.16
			M/s. B.T.X. Chem.	0.39		
			M/s. Pharmasynth			
			M/s. Chcmipharm	1 80	1.69	8-79